# AAPP | Atti della Accademia Peloritana dei Pericolanti Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali ISSN 1825-1242

Vol. LXXXVIII, No. 1, C1C1001001 (2010)

## **BASIC STATEMENTS OF RELATIVITY THEORY**

#### WOLFGANG MUSCHIK \*

Devoted to the tradition of Relativity Theory in Italy

ABSTRACT. Some basic statements of relativity theory, starting out with geometry and observers up to Einstein's field equations, are collected in a systematical order without any proof, to serve as a short survey of tools and results.

#### 1. Introduction: Observer and standard coordinates

Def.: An *observer* is locally represented by meters generating position coordinates  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  and a clock generating a time coordinate  $\vartheta$ . The 4-tupel  $(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \vartheta)$  is called an *event*.

Def.: An observer is called *locally inertial* (IS), if there exists an *observer-invariant transformation* of its event coordinates

$$x^{\alpha} = f^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\xi}), \ \alpha = 1, 2, 3, \quad t = f^{4}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \vartheta) \tag{1}$$

into new ones  $(x^{\alpha}, t)$  in which a light flash generated at  $(x^{\alpha} = 0, \wedge \alpha, t = 0)$  can be later represented by a sphere

$$(ct)^2 - x^2 = 0. (2)$$

These coordinates  $(x^{\alpha}, t)$  are called *standard coordinates* generated by *standard meters* and *standard clocks*.

Proposition: The observer-invariant transformations form a group.

**Conclusion:** Because observer-invariant transformations form a group, all possible coordinate systems of an IS are connected by observer-invariant transformations. One special coordinate system of an IS are the standard coordinates which are only defined for locally inertial observers.

#### 2. Special Relativity

**2.1. Michelson-Morley experiment.** Empirem: A first interpretation of the Michelson-Morley experiment is the statement *The velocity of light "c" in vacuum is independent of the arbitrary motion of the light source with respect to a locally inertial observer.* 

Empirem: A second interpretation of the Michelson-Morley experiment is the statement: *If a light flash is generated in an IS*  $\Sigma$  *at* 

x = 0, t = 0 which correspond to  $x^* = 0$ ,  $t^* = 0$  in an other IS  $\Sigma^*$ , both the observers see a light sphere at  $t > 0, t^* > 0$  (in standard coordinates !)

$$(ct)^2 - \boldsymbol{x}^2 = 0 \iff (ct^*)^2 - \boldsymbol{x}^{*2} = 0.$$
(3)

Proposition: According to (2) an infinitesimal light sphere in an IS is represented by

$$(ds)^{2} := \eta_{ik} dx^{i} dx^{k} = 0, \ i, k = 1, ..., 4,$$
(4)

$$x^4 := ct, \ \eta_{11} = \eta_{22} = \eta_{33} = -1, \ \eta_{44} = 1, \tag{5}$$

$$\eta_{ik} = 0, \ i \neq k, \quad \operatorname{sign}(\eta_{ik}) = -2.$$
(6)

According to the second interpretation (3) of the Michelson-Morley experiment we have

$$(ds)^2 = 0 \iff (ds^*)^2 = 0. \tag{7}$$

**2.2. Lorentz transformation.** Proposition: The transformation of the standard coordinates of two IS observers  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma^*$  moving to each other

$$\Sigma: (\boldsymbol{x}, t) \iff \Sigma^{\star}: (\boldsymbol{x}^{\star}, t^{\star}), \tag{8}$$

$$x^{\star a} = f^a(x^b), \tag{9}$$

1: does not affect the *distance element* 

$$(ds)^2 = \text{const} \iff (ds^*)^2 = \text{const},$$
 (10)

2: is linear, one-to-one, and called inhomogeneous Lorentz transformation (Poincaré group)

$$x^{\star a} = A^{a}_{\cdot b} x^{b} + C^{a}, \ x^{a} = A^{\star a}_{\cdot b} x^{\star b} + C^{\star a}, \tag{11}$$

3: is unimodular

$$\det(A^{a\cdot}_{\cdot b}) = \pm 1. \tag{12}$$

Proposition: If the relative velocity of  $\Sigma^*$  in  $\Sigma$  is v = const or  $v^* \equiv -v$ , respectively, and if we choose without any restriction of generality

$$\boldsymbol{v} = v\boldsymbol{e}_x \tag{13}$$

the x-axis into the direction of the relative velocity, and if

$$(\boldsymbol{x},t) = (\boldsymbol{0},0) \iff (\boldsymbol{x}^{\star},t^{\star}) = (\boldsymbol{0},0)$$
 (14)

correspond to each other, the transformation of the standard coordinates of  $\Sigma$  into those of  $\Sigma^{\star}$  is

$$x^{\star} = \alpha [x - vt], \ y^{\star} = y, \ z^{\star} = y,$$
(15)

$$ct^{\star} = \alpha[ct - \beta x], \tag{16}$$

$$\alpha = (1 - \beta^2)^{-1/2}, \ \beta = v/c, \tag{17}$$

Atti Accad. Pelorit. Pericol. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat., Vol. LXXXVIII, No. 1, C1C1001001 (2010) [6 pages]

RELATIVITY THEORY

or in matrix formulation

$$\begin{pmatrix} x^{\star} \\ y^{\star} \\ z^{\star} \\ ct^{\star} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 & -\alpha\beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\alpha\beta & 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ ct \end{pmatrix},$$
(18)

and is called a *special Lorentz transformation*:

$$x^{\star k} = A^{k \cdot x}_{\cdot l} x^{l}, \qquad k = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$
 (19)

**2.3. Minkowski space.** Def.: A 4-dimensional space spanned by the Cartesian standard coordinates  $(x, ct) \in \mathcal{M}$  and everywhere equipped with the constant metric (5) and (6) of signature -2 is called a *Minkowski space*.

According to (4) we have

$$(ds)^2 = dx_i dx^i, \quad dx_i := \eta_{ik} dx^k.$$

$$(20)$$

Def.: We call

$$(d\tau)^2 := \frac{1}{c^2} dx_k dx^k \tag{21}$$

the square of the differential of the *proper time*  $\tau$ .

Conclusion: The differential of proper time is invariant under Lorentz transformation.

**Proposition:** For a resting clock is the differential of proper time equal to the differential of the coordinate time,

$$(d\mathbf{x})^2 = 0 \quad \to \ (d\tau)^2 = \ (dt)^2,$$
 (22)

for a moving clock with constant velocity v in IS  $\Sigma$  and resting in IS  $\Sigma^{\star}$ 

$$d\tau = dt^{\star} = dt\sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)}$$
(23)

is valid.

Def.: The 4-vector

$$u^k := \frac{dx^k}{d\tau} \tag{24}$$

is called the 4-velocity.

Proposition: The 4-velocity is a normalized tensor of 1st order (it is covariant!)

$$u^{\star k} = A_{.l}^{k.} u^{l}, \qquad u_{k} u^{k} = c^{2}.$$
 (25)

Proposition:

$$u^{\alpha} = \frac{dx^{\alpha}/dt}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}}, \qquad \alpha = 1, 2, 3, \tag{26}$$

$$u^4 = \frac{c}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}}, \qquad \beta := v/c.$$
 (27)

Atti Accad. Pelorit. Pericol. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat., Vol. LXXXVIII, No. 1, C1C1001001 (2010) [6 pages]

**2.4. Geodesic.** Proposition: A motion in an locally inertial observer  $\Sigma$  is described by (relativistic Newton's law)

$$m_0 \frac{du^k}{d\tau} = m_0 \frac{d^2 x^k}{d\tau^2} = K^k.$$
 (28)

 $K^k$  is called the *imposed 4- force*. For an arbitrary observer  $\Sigma^*$  characterized by the one-to-one observer transformation  $x^k = x^k(x^{*l})$ , (28) transfers to

$$m_0 \frac{d^2 x^{\star k}}{d\tau^2} + m_0 \frac{\partial x^{\star k}}{\partial x^p} \frac{\partial^2 x^p}{\partial x^{\star q} \partial x^{\star r}} \frac{dx^{\star q}}{d\tau} \frac{dx^{\star r}}{d\tau} = \frac{\partial x^{\star s}}{\partial x^k} K^k.$$
(29)

Conclusion: According to (29) we see, that to the transformed imposed forces on the righthand side, additional forces by changing the observer arise in  $\Sigma^*$  which are not present in IS  $\Sigma$ .

Def.: According to (28) the force-free motion of a mass point in IS  $\Sigma$  is uniform and on a straight line. Transformed to (29) the motion is not uniform anymore and is not on a straight line in  $\Sigma^*$ . This curve describing a *imposed force-free motion* in IS ( $K^k = 0$ ) and being transformed to  $\Sigma^*$  is called a *geodesic*.

**Proposition:** Inserting (29) into the covariant differential of the 4-velocity, we obtain the *acceleration* 

$$\frac{Du^{\star s}}{d\tau} = \frac{\partial x^{\star s}}{\partial x^k} \frac{K^k}{m_0} - \frac{\partial x^{\star s}}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial^2 x^k}{\partial x^{\star t} \partial x^{\star l}} u^{\star t} u^{\star l} + \Gamma^{\star s}_{(pq)} u^{\star q} u^{\star p}.$$
(30)

Def.: Here, the *covariant differential* is defined by

$$DA^k := dA^k + \Gamma^k_{rs} A^s dx^r, \tag{31}$$

with the connexion  $\Gamma_{rs}^k$ .

Def.: The symmetric part of the connexion in (30) is now chosen in such a way, that along the geodesic the 4-velocity is parallel displaced

$$\Gamma_{(pq)}^{\star s} := \frac{\partial x^{\star s}}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial^2 x^k}{\partial x^{\star p} \partial x^{\star q}}, \qquad (32)$$

$$\frac{Du^{\star s}}{d\tau} = 0, \qquad \text{(geodesic)}. \tag{33}$$

The antisymmetric part of the connexion is not determined by the geodesic equation of motion (33). Therefore the setting

$$\Gamma^{\star s}_{[pq]} \doteq 0 \tag{34}$$

is not physically induced by the geodesical motion of a mass point. It is here an additional assumption beyond physics of mass points. (32) and (34) define a *Riemann space* 

Atti Accad. Pelorit. Pericol. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat., Vol. LXXXVIII, No. 1, C1C1001001 (2010) [6 pages]

### 3. General Relativity

**3.1. Equivalence principle.** Empirem: A locally inertial observer is not able to detect gravitation locally (free falling). This is due to the experimental fact, that gravitational mass and inertial mass are equal.

If an observer  $\Sigma^*$  is not locally inertial, the geodesic motion of a mass point is influenced by forces originated by the change of the observer from IS to  $\Sigma^*$ . In contrast to IS the observer  $\Sigma^*$  can detect gravitational forces along the geodesic motion.

Equivalence Principle: An observer which moves accelerated with respect to an IS cannot decide, if a gravitational field or an accelerated motion of himself is present.

By the equivalence principle the *gravitational forces are "geometrized"* (other forces are not !).

**3.2.** Curvature. The Riemann space is not flat, as the Minkowski space is, there is a non-vanishing covariant *curvature tensor* of 4-th order  $R_{kni}^s$ .

**Proposition:** In a 4-dimensional Riemann space, the covariant curvature tensor has 20 independent components.

Def.: The *Ricci tensor* and the *curvature scalar* are defined by contractions

$$R_{ip} := R_{imp}^m = g^{mk} R_{kimp}, \tag{35}$$

$$R := R_i^i = g^{ik} R_{ki}. aga{36}$$

Proposition: In a Riemann space, the Ricci tensor is symmetric and depends on the metric and its derivatives

$$R^{pq} = F^{pq}(g_{ik}, \partial_j g_{ik}, \partial_j \partial_l g_{ik}).$$
(37)

**3.3. Energy-momentum tensor.** Definition: In special relativity theory, the symmetric *energy-momentum tensor*  $T^{ik}$  is generating the balances of energy and momentum

$$\partial_k T^{ik} = f^i. \tag{38}$$

**3.4. Einstein field equations.** Transfer: special  $\rightarrow$  general relativity

1: Minkowski space  $\longrightarrow$  Riemann space

$$\partial_k \longrightarrow_{\parallel k}$$
(39)

2: Gravitational forces are "geometrized"

$$f^{i} = 0 \longrightarrow T^{kj}_{\parallel k} = 0 \tag{40}$$

and replaced by the metric of the curved Riemann space.

**3:** Except of the free choice of an observer, the energy-momentum tensor determines the metric

$$R^{ik} - \frac{1}{2}g^{ik}R - \lambda g^{ik} = \kappa T^{ik} \to T^{kj}_{\parallel k} = 0.$$
(41)

Given:  $T^{ik}$ , wanted:  $g^{ik}$ . Additionally we need initial conditions and constraints.  $\lambda$  is called the *cosmological constant* which is usually set to zero.

Atti Accad. Pelorit. Pericol. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat., Vol. LXXXVIII, No. 1, C1C1001001 (2010) [6 pages]

### **3.5.** Structure of the field equations.

- a) 10 partial non-linear differential equations of second order
- b) Only 6 of them are independent of each other because of  $T_{\parallel k}^{kj} = 0$
- c)  $\longrightarrow$  4 of the  $g^{ik}$  can be chosen arbitrarily  $\longrightarrow$  choice of a special observer
- d)  $\longrightarrow$  the  $g^{ik}$  cannot be determined uniquely from the field equations
- e) The cosmological constant makes possible, that the empty space  $(T^{ik} \equiv 0)$  may be curved.

#### Acknowledgement

The author thanks Prof. Liliana Restuccia for her invitation and scientific hospitality at the Department of Mathematics of the University of Messina from June 15 to July 5, 2007. The financial support of the Accademia Peloritana dei Pericolanti for delivering the lecture that is the object of the present paper is also gratefully acknowledged.

### References

- [1] W. Pauli, Theory of Relativity (Dover, New York, 1958)
- \* Technische Universität Berlin Institut f
  ür Theoretische Physik Hardenbergsr. 36 D-10623 Berlin, Germany

E-mail: muschik@physik.tu-berlin.de

Paper presented as a colloquium on 4 July 2007; published online 27 April 2010

© 2010 by the Author(s); licensee Accademia Peloritana dei Pericolanti, Messina, Italy. This article is an open access article, licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License.