Atti dell'Accademia Peloritana dei Pericolanti Classe I di Scienze Fis. Mat. e Nat. Vol. LXXXI-LXXXII, C1A0401007 (2003-04) Adunanza del 29 aprile 2004

A REMARK ON PROPER SEQUENCES OF MODULES*

GIANCARLO RINALDO

(Nota presentata dal socio ordinario G. Restuccia)

ABSTRACT. A bound for the depth of a quotient of the symmetric algebra, S(E), of a finitely generated module E, over a C.M. ring by an ideal of S(E) generated by a subsequence of x_1, \ldots, x_n is obtained in the case when E satisfies the sliding depth condition, with maximal irrelevant ideal generated by a proper sequence x_1, \ldots, x_n in E.

Introduction

Let R be a commutative noetherian C.M. local ring of dimension d, and let E be a finitely generated R-module of rank e.

We denote with $Sym_R(E)$ or with S(E), the symmetric algebra of E over R, that is the graded algebra over R

$$S(E) = \bigoplus_{t \ge 0} Sym_t(E),$$

with $S_+ = \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ the graded maximal irrelevant ideal of S(E) and with $S = R[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ the polynomial ring in *n* variables.

When $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is a proper sequence in $E, \mathbf{x}_i = \{x_1, \ldots, x_i\}$ is a subsequence of $\mathbf{x}, i = 1, \ldots, n$, the complex of homology modules of the Koszul complex on the elements \mathbf{x}_i is exact and give us informations on the quotient ring $S(E)/(x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n)$.

It is possible to obtain bounds on the depth of $S(E)/(x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n)$ knowing bounds on the depth of the *i*th components of the homology modules of the Koszul complex. For example, the condition SD_k on modules is able to give us such conditions, introduced in [5].

In this article we obtain a bound for the depth of the quotient ring S(E) by ideals generated by proper sequences of 1-forms of the maximal irrelevant ideal of S(E), under weaker hypothesis given in theorem 2 of [9], where we used approximation complex $\mathcal{Z}(E)$ of the module E.

1

We obtain the following:

GIANCALO RINALDO

Theorem 1. Let (R, m) be a C.M. local ring of dimension d. Let E a f.g. R-module that satisfies SD_k and x_1, \ldots, x_n a proper sequence of E.

Then depth $S(E)/(\mathbf{x}_{n-i+1,n}) \ge d-i+k, i = 0, ..., n.$

Our result generalizes to the case of a module the result in [6], where the problem is studied in the case of an ideal $I \subset R$ generated by proper sequences, obtaining bounds on the depth of the quotient of the ring R by I.

1. Preliminaries

Let R be a commutative noetherian C.M. local ring of dimension d, and let E be a finitely generated R-module of rank e.

We denote with $Sym_R(E)$ or with S(E), the symmetric algebra of E over R, that is the graded algebra over R:

$$S(E) = \bigoplus_{t \ge 0} Sym_t(E)$$

and with S_+ the maximal irrelevant ideal of S(E)

$$S_{+} = \bigoplus_{t>0} Sym_t(E)$$

Let $S_+ = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (\mathbf{x})$. We can consider the Koszul complex on the generating set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ of S_+ , that is a graded complex.

In particular in degree t > 0 we have

 $0 \to \bigwedge^{n} R^{n} \otimes S_{t-n}(E) \xrightarrow{d_{n}} \bigwedge^{n-1} R^{n} \otimes S_{t-n+1}(E) \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \cdots \\ \cdots \bigwedge^{2} R^{n} \otimes S_{t-2}(E) \xrightarrow{d_{2}} R^{n} \otimes S_{t-1}(E) \xrightarrow{d_{1}} S_{t-1}(E) \to 0$

with differential d_p

$$d_p(e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_p} \otimes f(\mathbf{x})) = \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^{p-j} e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{j-1}} \wedge e_{i_{j+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_p} \otimes x_{i_j} f(\mathbf{x}).$$

We denote with $H_i(\mathbf{x}; S(E))_j$, $j \ge i$, the *j*-th graded component of the Koszul homology $H_i(\mathbf{x}; S(E))$.

It results:

$$H_i(\mathbf{x}; S(E)) = \bigoplus_{j>i} H_i(\mathbf{x}; S(E))_j$$

Now, is possible to define the complex:

 $0 \to H_n(\mathbf{x}, S(E))_n \otimes S[-n] \to \cdots \to H_1(\mathbf{x}, S(E))_1 \otimes S[-1] \to S$ and in general the complexes associated to the subsequences $\mathbf{x}_i = \{x_1, \dots, x_i\}$

$$0 \to H_i(\mathbf{x}_i, S(E))_i \otimes S[-i] \to \cdots \to H_1(\mathbf{x}_i, S(E))_1 \otimes S[-1] \to S$$

Definition 1. [1] Let R be a graded ring. A graded ideal \mathfrak{m} of R is called *maximal, if every graded ideal that contains \mathfrak{m} properly, equals R. The ring R is called *local, if it has a unique *maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . A *local ring R with *maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} will be denoted by (R, \mathfrak{m}) .

Example 1. Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \ge 0} R_i$ be a graded ring for which R_0 is a local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_0 , and call R_+ the ideal $\bigoplus_{i>0} R_i$. Then R is a *local ring with *maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_0 \oplus R_+$.

Remark 1. Let M, N graded R-module. We can denote by $Hom_i(M, N)$ the module of homogeneous homomorphisms of degree i.

We can define $*Hom(M, N) = \bigoplus Hom_i(M, N)$ as the submodule of homogeneous homomorphisms of Hom(M, N).

It is known that *Hom(M, N) = Hom(M, N) when M is finitely generated ([1], chapter 1.5).

The same remark is possible to give for the i-th right derived functor

$$* \operatorname{Ext}^{i} of * \operatorname{Hom}(_, N),$$

that is $*Ext^i(M, N) = Ext^i(M, N)$, when M is finitely generated ([1], chapter 1.5).

Definition 2. Let M be a graded module on a *local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) . A sequence $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \ldots, x_n$ of graded elements in R is an M-regular sequence if the following conditions are satisfied:

1) x_i is an $M/(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})M$ -regular element for $i = 1, \ldots, n$; 2) $(\mathbf{x})M \neq M$.

Now is possible to define the depth for a *local ring

Definition 3. Let M be a graded module on a *local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) . The depth of M w.r.t. \mathfrak{m} , denoted with

 $\operatorname{depth}_{\mathfrak{m}} M$

is the length of the maximal M-regular sequence of graded elements contained in \mathfrak{m} , or equivalently

 $\min\{i: * \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) \neq 0\}.$

Lemma 1. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a *local ring and

$$0 \to U \to M \to N \to 0$$

an exact sequence of graded and finitely generated R-modules. Then 1) depth_m $M \ge \min\{depth_m U, depth_m N\};$ 2) depth_m $U \ge \min\{depth_m M, depth_m N + 1\};$ 3) depth_m $N \ge \min\{depth_m U - 1, depth_m M\}.$

Proof: The assertion is proved using proposition 1.2.9 of [1] and remark 1.

Definition 4. [10] Let $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \ldots, x_n$ be a sequence of 1-form generating the maximal irrelevant ideal S_+ of S(E). Then \mathbf{x} is called a proper sequence in E if:

 $x_{i+1}Z_j(x_1,\ldots,x_i;S(E))_j/B_j(x_1,\ldots,x_i;S(E))_{j+1} = 0 \qquad 0 \le i \le n-1, j > 0.$

GIANCALO RINALDO

where $Z_j(x_1, \ldots, x_i; S(E))_j$ and $B_j(x_1, \ldots, x_i; S(E))_j$ are respectively the the j-th graded component of the cycles and boundaries of the Koszul complex.

Now we recall the sliding depth condition SD_k over finitely generated modules (see [5]).

Definition 5. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}_0) be a C.M. local ring of dimension d. Let E be a finitely generated R-module, $S_+ = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (\mathbf{x})$ the maximal irrelevant ideal of the symmetric algebra $S_R(E)$.

We say that E satisfies the sliding depth condition SD_k , with k integer, if $\forall i \geq 0$:

 $\operatorname{depth}_{\mathfrak{m}_0} H_i(\boldsymbol{x}, S(E))_i \ge d - n + i + k, \qquad 0 \le i \le n - k.$

If k = rank(E) we shall say that E satisfies the sliding depth condition SD.

Remark 2. In particular if E satisfies the sliding depth condition SD_k , with $S_+ = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (\mathbf{x})$ the maximal irrelevant ideal of the symmetric algebra $S_R(E)$, then for every subsequence $\mathbf{x}_j = \{x_1, \ldots, x_j\}$ of \mathbf{x} we have

$$\operatorname{depth}_{\mathfrak{m}_{o}} H_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, S(E))_{i} \geq d - j + i + k, \qquad 0 \leq i \leq n - k.$$

2. Main result

S-module.

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}_0) be a local ring and E a f.g. R-module. In this section we look at the symmetric algebra $S_R(E)$ of a module E, and a proper sequence in E, $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, generating the maximal irrelevant ideal S_+ , and we call $S = R[T_1, \ldots, T_n]$ the polynomial ring with coefficients in R with the natural grading.

Lemma 2. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}_0) be a C.M. local ring of dimension d. Let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ a proper sequence of the module E.

We call $\mathbf{x}_i = \{x_1, \dots, x_i\}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{i,n} = \{x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ subsequence of \mathbf{x} , $H_j(\mathbf{x}_i)_l = H_j(\mathbf{x}_i; S(E))_l$. The following sequences are exact: 1) $0 \rightarrow H_{j+1}(\mathbf{x}_i)_{j+1} \otimes S[-j-1] \rightarrow H_{j+1}(\mathbf{x}_{i+1})_{j+1} \otimes S[-j-1] \rightarrow H_{j+1}(\mathbf{x}_i)_{j+1}[-1] \otimes S[-j-1] \rightarrow H_{j+$

 $S[-j-1] \to 0$ 2) $0 \to Q^{(i)} \to S(E)/(\mathbf{x}_{i+1,n}) \to S(E)/(\mathbf{x}_{i,n}) \to 0, \text{ with } Q^{(i)} = (\mathbf{x}_{i,n})/(\mathbf{x}_{i+1,n})$

3) $0 \to M^{(i)} \to S(E)/(\mathbf{x}_{i+1,n}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{x}_i} Q^{(i)} \to 0, \text{ with } M^{(i)} = ((\mathbf{x}_{i+1,n}) : \mathbf{x}_i)/(\mathbf{x}_{i+1,n})$ 4) $0 \to H_1(\mathbf{x}_i)_1 \otimes S[-1] \to H_1(\mathbf{x}_{i+1})_1 \otimes S[-1] \to M^{(i)} \to 0, \text{ where } M^{(i)} \text{ is seen as an}$

Proof: Let $x = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ a proper sequence of E. Then $\forall j > 1$ $0 \to H_j(\mathbf{x}_i)_j \otimes S[-j] \to H_j(\mathbf{x}_{i+1})_j \otimes S[-j] \to H_{j-1}(\mathbf{x}_i)_j \otimes S[-j] \to 0$ the sequences of S-modules are exact. The tail of this homology sequence is

 $0 \to H_1(\mathbf{x}_i)_1 \otimes S[-1] \to H_1(\mathbf{x}_{i+1})_1 \otimes S[-1] \to S(E)/(\mathbf{x}_{i+1,n}) \xrightarrow{x_i} S(E)/(\mathbf{x}_{i+1,n}) \to S(E)/(\mathbf{x}_{i,n}) \to 0.$

We can observe that kernel of the omomorphism

$$S(E)/(\mathbf{x}_{i+1,n}) \to S(E)/(\mathbf{x}_{i,n}) \to 0$$

5

is $Q^{(i)} = (\mathbf{x}_{i,n})/(\mathbf{x}_{i+1,n}).$

Now, considering the morphism

$$S(E)/(\mathbf{x}_{i+1,n}) \xrightarrow{x_i} Q^{(i)} \to 0,$$

we obtain the kernel that is $M^{(i)} = ((\mathbf{x}_{i+1,n}) : x_i)/(\mathbf{x}_{i+1,n}),$

and so we can break the long sequence (*) into the shorter sequence 2), 3) and 4).

Let $\mathbf{T} = \{T_1, \ldots, T_n\}$, from now on, the depth of each S-modules, and therefore also S(E)-modules, is calculated with respect to the *maximal ideal

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_0 \oplus (\mathbf{T}).$$

Remark 3. Let $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_0 \oplus (T)$ and $\mathfrak{m}' = \mathfrak{m}_0 \oplus S_+$. Then

$$\operatorname{depth}_{\mathfrak{m}} S(E) = \operatorname{depth}_{\mathfrak{m}'} S(E),$$

where depth w.r.t. \mathfrak{m} is calculated considering S(E) as an S-module, while depth w.r.t. \mathfrak{m}' is calculated considering S(E) as an S(E)-module.

Remark 4. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}_0) be local ring and E a f.g. R-module. If $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is a proper sequence of E, $\mathbf{x}_i = \{x_1, \ldots, x_i\}$ a subsequence of \mathbf{x} , then the following complexes of S-modules are exact:

$$0 \to H_n(\mathbf{x}, S(E))_n \otimes S[-n] \to \dots \to H_1(\mathbf{x}, S(E))_1 \otimes S[-1] \to S \to S(E);$$

$$0 \to H_i(\mathbf{x}_i, S(E))_i \otimes S[-i] \to \dots \to H_1(\mathbf{x}_i, S(E))_1 \otimes S[-1] \to S \to S(E)/(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n).$$

Theorem 1. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}_0) be a C.M. local ring of dimension d and E a f.g. R-module. Suppose that:

1) E satisfies SD_k ;

- 2) x_1, \ldots, x_n is a proper sequence of E.
 - Then depth_m $S(E)/(\mathbf{x}_{n-i+1,n}) \ge d-i+k, i = 0, ..., n.$

Proof:

We suppose that the assertion is true for j = n - i + 1 and by contradiction let

$$\operatorname{depth}_{\mathfrak{m}} S(E)/(\mathbf{x}_{j-1,n}) = l < d-i+k-1 \qquad (*)$$

Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to H_1(\mathbf{x}_{j-1}; S(E))_1 \otimes S[-1] \to H_1(\mathbf{x}_j; S(E))_1 \otimes S[-1] \to M^{(j-1)} \to 0$$

By lemma 1, we have

 $\operatorname{depth}_{\mathfrak{m}} M^{(j-1)} \geq \\ \min\{\operatorname{depth}_{\mathfrak{m}} H_1(\mathbf{x}_{j-1}; S(E))_1 \otimes S[-1] - 1, \operatorname{depth}_{\mathfrak{m}} H_1(\mathbf{x}_j; S(E))_1 \otimes S[-1]\} \\ = d + k - j + 1 + n > l$

GIANCALO RINALDO

From the sequence of S(E)-modules (and therefore S-modules)

$$0 \to M^{(j-1)} \to S(E)/(\mathbf{x}_{j,n}) \to Q^{(j-1)} \to 0$$

we obtain the long exact sequence:

 $\cdots \to^* \operatorname{Ext}^{l-1}(k, M_{j-1}) \to^* \operatorname{Ext}^{l}(k, S(E)/(\mathbf{x}_{j,n})) \to \\ \operatorname{Ext}^{l}(k, Q^{(j-1)}) \to^* \operatorname{Ext}^{l+1}(k, M^{(j-1)}) \to \cdots$

where $k \cong R/\mathfrak{m}_0$.

But * $\operatorname{Ext}^{l-1}(k, M^{(j-1)}) = 0$, since depth $M^{(j-1)} > l$, it follows that the map

$$\alpha :^* \operatorname{Ext}^l(k, S(E)/(\mathbf{x}_{j,n})) \to^* \operatorname{Ext}^l(k, Q^{(j-1)})$$

is injective.

In the same way, from the exact sequence of S(E)-modules

$$0 \to Q^{(j-1)} \to S(E)/(\mathbf{x}_{j,n}) \to S(E)/(\mathbf{x}_{j-1,x_n}) \to 0$$

we obtain

 $\cdots \to^* \operatorname{Ext}^{l-1}(k, S(E)/(\mathbf{x}_{j-1,n})) \to^* \operatorname{Ext}^{l}(k, Q^{(j-1)}) \to \\ \quad * \operatorname{Ext}^{l}(k, S(E)/(\mathbf{x}_{j,n})) \to^* \operatorname{Ext}^{l}(k, S(E)/(\mathbf{x}_{j-1,n})) \to \cdots$ But * $\operatorname{Ext}^{l-1}(k, S(E)/(\mathbf{x}_{j-1,n})) = 0$ by hypothesis (*), so the map $\beta :^* \operatorname{Ext}^{l}(k, Q^{(j-1)}) \to^* \operatorname{Ext}^{l}(k, S(E)/(\mathbf{x}_{j,n}))$

is injective, too. Therefore the composite $\beta \alpha$ is injective.

But this gives us a contradiction, since $\beta \alpha$ is induced by the multiplication by x_{j-1} , and it is the null mapping, since $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is a proper sequence.

Example 2. Let $R = k[x_1, ..., x_d]$, $I_1 = (f_1)$ and $I_2 = (f_2, f_3)$ ideals of R with f_1, f_2, f_3 monomials and $E = I_1 \oplus I_2$.

Since E has rank 2

$$H_i(\boldsymbol{x}, S(E))_i = 0$$

for i > 1 (see [5]).

If i = 1, $H_1(\mathbf{x}, S(E))_1$ is the first syzygy module of E and by easy calculations we have

$$H_1(\boldsymbol{x}, S(E))_1 = Rf_2$$

 $f = (0, f_3/GCD[f_2, f_3], f_2/GCD[f_2, f_3]) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and in particular $Rf \cong \mathbb{R}$. Therefore E satisfies SD_2 .

The sequence f_1, f_2, f_3 is a strong s-sequence in the sense of [4], so $y_1, y_2, y_3 \in S(E) \cong R[y_1, y_2, y_3] \cong R[Y_1, Y_2, Y_3]/J$ is a d-sequence (see [4]) and this implies that the sequence is a proper sequence, too.

We have that

(1) $depth_{\mathfrak{m}}S(E) \ge d+2;$

- (2) $depth_{\mathfrak{m}}S(E)/(y_3) \ge d-1+2;$
- (3) $depth_{\mathfrak{m}}S(E)/(y_2, y_3) \ge d-2+2;$
- (4) $depth_{\mathfrak{m}}S(E)/(y_1, y_2, y_3) \ge d-3+2.$

then the assertion of theorem 1 is satisfied.

Remark 5. The computation of the depth was performed using CoCoA (see [2]) a computer algebra system entirely devoted to computing in polynomial rings. Other examples have been verified.

References

- [1] W. Bruns, J. Herzog. Cohen-Macaulay Rings. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [2] A. Capani, G. Niesi, L. Robbiano. CoCoA: A system for doing computations in commutative algebra. Available via anonymous ftp from lancelot.dima.unige.it,1998.
- [3] J. Dieudonné. Topics in local algebra. Notre Dame Math. Lect., (10), 1967.
- [4] J. Herzog, G. Restuccia, Z. Tang. s-sequences and symmetric algebra. Manuscripta Math. Springer-Verlag, 104:479-501, 2001.
- [5] J. Herzog, A. Simis, W.V. Vasconcelos. On the arithmetic and homology of algebras of linear type. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 283:661–683, 1984.
- [6] J. Herzog, W.V. Vasconcelos, R. Villarreal. Ideals with sliding depth. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 99:159–172, 1985.
 [7] H. Matsumura. *Commutative Algebra*. Benjamin/Cummings, Reading Massachusets, 1980.
- [8] G. Restuccia. Algebres symétrique et de Rees d'un module. Atti Accademia Peloritana dei Pericolanti, LXX,93–99, 1992.
- [9] G. Rinaldo. Modules with sliding depth. preprint, 2003.
- [10] G. Rinaldo. Torsionfree Symmetric algebras of finitely generated modules. *Rendiconti del seminario matematico di Messina*, preprint, 2003.
- [11] W. V. Vasconelos Arithmetic of Blow-up Algebras. London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes, 1992.

Giancarlo Rinaldo e-mail: rinaldo@dipmat.unime.it Università di Messina Dipartimento di Matematica

Salita Sperone 31, 98166 Messina (Italy)