

ON ACTIONS OF THE ADDITIVE GROUP ON THE WEYL ALGEBRA

G. RESTUCCIA*^[a] and H. J. SCHNEIDER^[b]

(Nota presentata dal Socio Ordinario Gaetana Restuccia)

ABSTRACT. We construct classes of examples of H -coactions and H -Galois coactions on the Weyl algebra in characteristic $p > 0$ where H is the function algebra of the additive group of dimension p^2 . In particular we show that the main result obtained in a preceeding paper [G. Restuccia and H.-J. Schneider, *J. Algebra* **261**, 229 (2003)] cannot be generalized to non-commutative algebras.

Introduction

Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$, and H a commutative Hopf algebra with underlying algebra

$$H = k[X_1, \dots, X_n] / (X_1^{p^{s_1}}, \dots, X_n^{p^{s_n}}), \quad n \geq 1, s_1 \geq \dots \geq s_n \geq 1.$$

Here, $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ denotes the commutative polynomial algebra in n indeterminates $X_1, \dots, X_n$. For all i let x_i be the residue class of X_i in H . Then the elements $x_1^{t_1} \dots x_n^{t_n}$ for $0 \leq t_i \leq p^{s_i} - 1, 1 \leq i \leq n$ are a basis of H . Let A be a right H -comodule algebra with structure map $\delta: A \rightarrow A \otimes H$. Then for all $a \in A$,

$$\delta(a) = a \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n D_i(x) \otimes x_i + \text{terms of higher order in the } x'_i s,$$

where $D_i: A \rightarrow A, 1 \leq i \leq n$ are derivations of A .

Let $R = A^{\text{co}H} = \{a \in A \mid \delta(a) = a \otimes 1\}$ be the subalgebra of H -coinvariant elements. The extension $R \subset A$ is called an H -Galois extension or A is H -Galois if the Galois map

$$A \otimes_R A \rightarrow A \otimes H, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto a\delta(b),$$

is bijective.

The quotient algebra $Q = H/(x^p \mid x \in H^+)$ is a quotient Hopf algebra of H , and it represents the first Frobenius kernel of the group scheme $\text{Sp}(H)$ [1, Chap.II, §7]. Let $\pi: H \rightarrow Q$ be the quotient map. We identify

$$Q \cong k[Y_1, \dots, Y_n] / (Y_1^p, \dots, Y_n^p), \quad \pi(x_i) \mapsto y_i = \text{residue class of } Y_i.$$

Let $B = A^{\text{co}Q} = \{a \in A \mid (\text{id} \otimes \pi)(\delta(a)) = a \otimes 1\}$ be the algebra of Q -coinvariant elements of the induced coaction

$$\delta_Q: A \xrightarrow{\delta} A \otimes H \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \pi} A \otimes Q.$$

Assume that A is a commutative algebra. Then we proved in [2, Theorem 4.1] a Jacobi criterion for $R \subset A$ to be H -Galois which can be formulated in terms of the derivations D_i . In particular we showed in the commutative case that $R \subset A$ is H -Galois if $B \subset A$ is Q -Galois.

For arbitrary algebras it is therefore natural to ask

Question 1. Assume that $B \subset A$ is Q -Galois. Is $R \subset A$ H -Galois?

The additive group is given by $H_a = H$ as an algebra and with Hopf algebra structure defined by $\Delta(x_i) = x_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. For H_a -actions and arbitrary algebras A we showed in [2, Theorem 3.1] that $R \subset A$ is H_a -Galois and A is faithfully flat as a (left or right) R -module if and only if there are elements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ with

$$\delta(a_i) = a_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Let $K = k[H^p]$. Then K is a normal Hopf subalgebra of H , $H/HK^+ = Q$, and δ defines by restriction a K -comodule algebra structure on B with $R = B^{\text{co}K}$. Assume that $R \subset B$ is faithfully flat K -Galois, and $B \subset A$ is faithfully flat Q -Galois. Then $R \subset A$ is faithfully flat H -Galois by [3, Theorem 4.5.1]. However the argument in [3, Theorem 4.5.1] is not constructive.

Thus we might ask for actions of the additive group where we assume $s_1 \geq \dots \geq s_m > 1, s_{m+1} = \dots, s_1 = 1, 1 \leq m \leq n$,

Question 2. Let $H = H_a$, and assume that we are given elements $b_1, \dots, b_m \in B$ and $c_1, \dots, c_n \in A$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(b_j) &= b_j \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_j^p, \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ \delta_Q(c_i) &= c_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y_i, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Is there a constructive way to find elements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ with

$$\delta(a_i) = a_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n?$$

In the following we consider actions of the additive group with $n = 1, s_1 = 2$, that is

$$H = k[X]/(X^{p^2}) \cong k[x], \quad x^{p^2} = 0, \quad \Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x,$$

on the Weyl algebra

$$A_1 = k\langle u, v \mid vu = uv + 1 \rangle.$$

In this situation we give a negative answer to question 1, and a partial positive answer to question 2. In particular, we construct classes of examples of H -coactions and of H -Galois coactions on the Weyl algebra A_1 .

1. The main result

Recall that $H = k[x]$ with $x^{p^2} = 0, \Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$, and k -basis $x^i, 0 \leq i \leq p^2 - 1$. The first Lemma is well-known. We sketch a proof for completeness.

Lemma 1.1. *Let A be an algebra, and $\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes k[x]$ a linear map. For all $a \in A$, write*

$$\delta(a) = \sum_{i=0}^{p^2-1} D_i(a) \otimes x^i,$$

where each $D_i : A \rightarrow A$ is a linear map. Then δ is a comodule algebra structure if and only if

- (1) $D_i(ab) = \sum_{j=0}^i D_j(a)D_{i-j}(b)$ for all $a, b \in A, 0 \leq i < p^2$.
- (2) $D_i(1) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i < p^2$, $D_0 = \text{id}$.
- (3) $D_i = \frac{D_{i_0}^{i_0}}{i_0!} \frac{D_{i_1}^{i_1}}{i_1!}$, for all $0 \leq i_0, i_1 < p, i = i_0 + i_1 p$.
- (4) $D_1 D_p = D_p D_1, D_1^p = 0, D_p^p = 0$.

Proof. It is easy to see that δ is a comodule algebra structure if and only if (1) and (2) hold, and $D_r D_s = \begin{cases} \binom{r+s}{r} D_{r+s}, & \text{if } r+s \leq p^2 - 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } r+s \geq p^2. \end{cases}$ The Lemma follows from the identities $\binom{kp}{p} \equiv k \pmod{p}$ for all $k \geq 1$, and $\binom{k+l p}{k} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, for all $0 \leq k, l < p$. $\square$

For $k[x]$ -coactions δ we use the notation of Lemma 1.1. In particular, if $a \in A$ with $D_1(a) = 1$, then we can write

$$(1.1) \quad \delta(a) = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{D_p^i(a)}{i!} \otimes x^{ip}.$$

Lemma 1.2. Let A be an algebra, and $\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes k[x]$ a $k[x]$ -comodule algebra structure. Let $a \in A$ with $D_1(a) = 1$. Then

$$D_p(a^p) = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a^i D_p(a) a^{p-i-1},$$

and if a^p is central in A , then $\delta(a^{p^2}) = a^{p^2} \otimes 1$.

Proof. We collect all terms of the form $b \otimes x^p, b \in A$, in

$$(\delta(a))^p = (a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x + D_p(a) \otimes x^p + \sum_{i=2}^{p-1} \frac{D_p^i(a)}{i!} \otimes x^{ip})^p.$$

The contribution of products of p summands of the form $a \otimes 1$ or $1 \otimes x$ is $1 \otimes x^p$, since $(a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x)^p = a^p \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x^p$. If we take products with at least one factor $D_p(a) \otimes x^p$, all the other factors must be $a \otimes 1$ (or the product is 0). This proves the formula for $D_p(a^p)$.

If a^p commutes with $D_p(a)$, then we compute $\delta(a)^p$ by the binomial formula:

$$(a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{D_p^i(a)}{i!} \otimes x^{(i-1)p})(1 \otimes x^p))^p = a^p \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x^p.$$

Thus $\delta(a^{p^2}) = a^{p^2} \otimes 1$. $\square$

Remark 1.3. We note some properties of the Weyl algebra A_1 .

- (1) The general commutation rule

$$v^s u^t = \sum_{i=0}^{\min(s,t)} u^{t-i} v^{s-i} \binom{s}{i} t(t-1) \cdots (t-i+1).$$

follows by induction on s, t .

- (2) In particular, v^p and u^p are central in A_1 .
- (3) Since A_1 is an Ore extension, A_1 is an integral domain, and the elements $u^i v^j$, $i, j \geq 0$, form a k -basis of A_1 .
- (4) The only units in A_1 are the elements in k . This follows from (1) using (3).

We need the following identity in the Weyl algebra.

Lemma 1.4. $\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} v^s u^t v^{p-s-1} = \begin{cases} -u^{(k-1)p}, & \text{if } t = kp - 1, k \geq 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } t \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p}. \end{cases}$

Proof. By Remark 1.3 (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} v^s u^t v^{p-s-1} &= \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{s}{i} t(t-1) \cdots (t-i+1) u^{t-i} v^{s-i} v^{p-s-1} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \sum_{s=i}^{p-1} \binom{s}{i} t(t-1) \cdots (t-i+1) u^{t-i} v^{p-i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The identity

$$\left(\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} (X+1)^s \right) X = (X+1)^p - 1 = X^p$$

in the polynomial algebra over $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{li=0}^s \binom{s}{i} X^i &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{s=i}^{p-1} \binom{s}{i} X^i = X^{p-1}, \text{ hence} \\ \sum_{s=i}^{p-1} \binom{s}{i} &\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ for all } 0 \leq i \leq p-2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} v^s u^t v^{p-s-1} &= t(t-1) \cdots (t-(p-1)+1) u^{t-(p-1)} \\ &= \begin{cases} -u^{(k-1)p}, & \text{if } t = kp - 1, k \geq 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } t \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{since } t(t-1) \cdots (t-p+2) \equiv \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } t \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \\ 0, & \text{if } t \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p}. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

Note that $K = k[H^p] = k[x^p]$, and the quotient map $H \rightarrow H/HK^+$ can be identified with $\pi : k[x] \rightarrow k[y]$, $x \mapsto y$, where $k[y] = k[Y]/(Y^p)$, y the residue class of Y , and $\Delta(y) = y \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y$.

Lemma 1.5. *Let $\delta : A_1 \rightarrow A_1 \otimes k[x]$ be a $k[x]$ -comodule algebra structure. Assume that $\delta(u) = u \otimes 1$, and $D_1(v) = 1$. Then*

- (1) $D_1(bv_i) = biv^{i-1}$, and $D_p(bv^{ip}) = biv^{(i-1)p} D_p(v^p)$ for all $b \in k[u]$, $i \geq 0$.

- (2) $A^{\text{cok}[y]} = \{a \in A \mid D_1(a) = 0\} = k[u, v^p]$.
- (3) $A^{\text{cok}[x]} = \begin{cases} k[u, v^{p^2}], & \text{if } D_p(v^p) \neq 0, \\ k[u, v^p], & \text{if } D_p(v^p) = 0. \end{cases}$
- (4) There are $a_i \in k[v^p]$, $i \geq 0$, with $D_p(v) = \sum_{t \geq 0} a_t u^t$, and

$$D_p(v^p) = 1 - \sum_{k \geq 1} a_{kp-1} u^{(k-1)p}.$$

Proof. (1) By Lemma 1.1 (1), D_1 is a derivation, and the formula for $D_p(bv^{ip})$ follows by induction on i from Lemma 1.1.

(2) $A^{\text{cok}[y]} = \{a \in A \mid D_1(a) = 0\}$ is clear from the definition, and $\{a \in A \mid D_1(a) = 0\} = k[u, v^p]$ follows from (1).

(3) By (2), $A_1^{\text{cok}[x]} = \{a \in k[u, v^p] \mid D_p(a) = 0\}$. Let $a = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i v^{ip} \in k[u, v^p]$, $a_i \in k[u]$, $i \geq 0$. Then $D_p(a) = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i i v^{(i-1)p} D_p(v^p)$ by (2). This implies (3) since A_1 is an integral domain.

(4) By Lemma 1.1, $D_1 D_p(v) = D_p D_1(v) = D_p(1) = 0$, hence we can write $D_p(v) = \sum_{t \geq 0} a_t u^t$, $a_t \in k[v^p]$, $t \geq 0$. Since the elements a_t are central in A_1 , it follows from Lemma 1.2 that

$$D_p(v^p) = 1 + \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} v^s \left(\sum_{t \geq 0} a_t u^t \right) v^{p-s-1} = 1 + \sum_{t \geq 0} a_t \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} v^s u^t v^{p-s-1},$$

and the claim follows from Lemma 1.4. $\square$

Our main result is

Theorem 1.6. Let $A_1 = k\langle u, v \mid vu = uv + 1 \rangle$, and $\delta : A_1 \rightarrow A_1 \otimes k[x]$ a comodule algebra structure with $B = A_1^{\text{cok}[y]}$. Assume that $\delta(u) = u \otimes 1$, and $D_1(v) = 1$. Then $B = k[u, v^p]$, A_1 is $k[y]$ -Galois, and there are elements $a_t \in k[v^p]$, $t \geq 0$, with $D_p(v) = \sum_{t \geq 0} a_t u^t$, and the following are equivalent:

- (1) A_1 is $k[x]$ -Galois.
- (2) There is an element $a \in A_1$ with $\delta(a) = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$.
- (3) $0 \neq D_p(v^p) \in k$.
- (4) $1 \neq a_{p-1} \in k$, and $a_{kp-1} = 0$ for all $k \geq 2$.
- (5) B is $k[x^p]$ -Galois.
- (6) There is an element $b \in A_1$ with $\delta(b) = b \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x^p$.

Proof. By Lemma 1.5 (2), (3), $B = k[u, v^p]$ and $A^{\text{cok}[x]}$ are commutative. Hence (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2), and (5) $\Leftrightarrow$ (6) by [2, Theorem 3.1]. Note that in (6), $b \in B$, since $B = \{a \in A_1 \mid D_1(a) = 0\}$.

A_1 is $k[y]$ -Galois since $\delta_{k[y]}(v) = v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y$.

The existence of the elements a_t is shown in Lemma 1.5 (4).

(3) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4) follows from Lemma (1.5) (4).

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Since $D_1(v) = 1$, it follows from Lemma 1.5 (2) and (1) that there are $b_i \in k[u]$, $i \geq 0$, with $a = v + \sum_{i \geq 0} b_i v^{ip}$, and

$$(1.2) \quad 0 = D_p(v) + \sum_{i \geq 0} b_i i v^{(i-1)p} D_p(v^p).$$

By Lemma 1.5 (4) there are $a_t \in k[v^p]$, $t \geq 0$, with

$$(1.3) \quad D_p(v) = \sum_{t \not\equiv -1 \pmod p} a_t u^t + \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} a_{kp-1} u^{(k-1)p} \right) u^{p-1},$$

$$(1.4) \quad D_p(v^p) = 1 - \sum_{k \geq 1} a_{kp-1} u^{(k-1)p}.$$

Since $k[u^p, v^p] \subset k[u, v^p]$ is a free ring extension with $k[u^p, v^p]$ -basis $1, u, \dots, u^{p-1}$ we can find $c_j \in k[u^p, v^p]$, $j \geq p-1$, with

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{i \geq 0} b_i i v^{(i-1)p} = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c_j u^j.$$

Hence we obtain from (1.2), (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5)

$$0 = \sum_{t \not\equiv -1 \pmod p} a_t u^t + \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} a_{kp-1} u^{(k-1)p} \right) u^{p-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c_j u^j \left(1 - \sum_{k \geq 1} a_{kp-1} u^{(k-1)p} \right).$$

The coefficient of u^{p-1} in the $k[u^p, v^p]$ -basis representation of the expression on the right hand side is

$$0 = \sum_{k \geq 1} a_{kp-1} u^{(k-1)p} + c_{p-1} \left(1 - \sum_{k \geq 1} a_{kp-1} u^{(k-1)p} \right).$$

Let $F = \sum_{k \geq 1} a_{kp-1} u^{(k-1)p}$, and $c = c_{p-1}$. Then $0 = F + c(1 - F)$, hence $c = F(c-1)$, and $0 = F(1 + (c-1)(1-F))$. Since $k[u^p, v^p]$ is a polynomial ring in the variables u^p, v^p , it follows that $F = 0$ or $1 - F$ is a nonzero scalar in k . Thus $0 \neq 1 - F = D_p(v_p) \in k$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let $\alpha = D_p(v^p)$, hence $0 \neq \alpha \in k$, by (3). By Lemma 1.5 (2), $D_p(v) \in k[u, v^p]$, since $D_1 D_p(v) = D_p D_1(v) = 0$. Hence there are $c_i \in k[u]$, $i \geq 0$, with $D_p(v) = \sum_{i \geq 0} c_i v^{ip}$. By Lemma 1.1 (4), $D_p^p(v) = 0$. Thus we get from Lemma 1.5 (1)

$$0 = D_p^p(v) = \sum_{i \geq 0} c_i i(i-1) \cdots (i-(p-2)) v^{(i-(p-1))p} \alpha^{p-1}.$$

Since for all $i = kp-1$, $k \geq 1$, $i(i-1) \cdots (i-(p-2)) \equiv (p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod p$, and $i-(p-1) = (k-1)p \geq 0$, we see that $c_i = 0$ for all $i \equiv -1 \pmod p$.

Then

$$(1.6) \quad a = v - \sum_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ i \not\equiv 0 \pmod p}} \frac{c_{i-1}}{i\alpha} v^{ip}$$

is the element we want, since by Lemma 1.5 (1), $D_1(a) = 1$, and

$$D_p(a) = \sum_{i \geq 0} c_i v^{ip} - \sum_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ i \not\equiv 0 \pmod p}} \frac{c_{i-1}}{i\alpha} i v^{(i-1)p} \alpha = 0,$$

hence $\delta(a) = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$ by Lemma 1.1.

(6) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Let $b_i \in k[u]$, $i \geq 0$ with $b = \sum_{i \geq 0} b_i v^{ip}$. Then by Lemma 1.5 (1),

$$1 = D_p(b) = \sum_{i \geq 0} b_i i v^{(i-1)p} D_p(v^p).$$

Hence $0 \neq D_p(v^p) \in k$, since the only invertible elements in the Weyl algebra A_1 are scalars.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (6): Let $\alpha = D_p(v^p)$. Then $0 \neq \alpha \in k$, and $b = \alpha^{-1} v^p$ satisfies (6). $\square$

The implication (6) $\Rightarrow$ (2) also follows from the abstract argument in [3, Theorem 4.5.1], since $B \subset A_1$ is a faithfully flat $k[y]$ -Galois extension. In our proof of Theorem 1.6 however, we constructed the element a explicitly.

2. Examples

Our first class of examples shows that Question 1 in the introduction has a negative answer.

Example 2.1. Let $a_t \in k[v^{p^2}]$, $t \geq 0$, and $b = \sum_{t \geq 0} a_t u^t \in k[u, v^{p^2}]$. Then there is a $k[x]$ -comodule algebra structure $\delta : A_1 \rightarrow A_1 \otimes k[x]$ with

$$\delta(u) = u \otimes 1, \delta(v) = v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x + b \otimes x^p,$$

and

$$(1) \ A_1^{\text{co}k[x]} = \begin{cases} k[u, v^{p^2}], & \text{if } \sum_{k \geq 1} a_{kp-1} u^{(k-1)p} \neq 1, \\ k[u, v^p], & \text{if } \sum_{k \geq 1} a_{kp-1} u^{(k-1)p} = 1. \end{cases}$$

(2) A_1 is $k[y]$ -Galois.

(3) A_1 is $k[x]$ -Galois if and only if $1 \neq a_{p-1} \in k$, and $a_{kp-1} = 0$ for all $k \geq 2$.

Moreover, if A_1 is $k[x]$ -Galois, and if we write $b = \sum_{i \geq 0} c_i v^{ip}$, with $c_i \in k[u]$, $i \geq 0$, then

$$\delta(a) = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x, \text{ where } a = v - \sum_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ i \not\equiv 0 \pmod p}} \frac{c_{i-1}}{i(1 - a_{p-1})} v^{ip}.$$

Proof. Since $ub = bu$, δ defines an algebra map. It is easy to check that δ is coassociative, that is $(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)(\delta(v)) = (\delta \otimes \text{id})(\delta(v))$, since $\delta(v^{p^2}) = v^{p^2} \otimes 1$ by Lemma 1.2, and hence $\delta(b) = b \otimes 1$. (1), (2), and (3) follow from Theorem 1.6. The formula for a is a special case of (1.6). $\square$

Example 2.2. Let $c_0, \dots, c_{p-2} \in k[u^p, v^{p^2}]$, and define

$$b_i = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1-i} \binom{i+j-1}{j} \frac{1}{i} c_{i+j-1} v^{jp}, 1 \leq i \leq p-1.$$

Then there is a $k[x]$ -comodule algebra structure $\delta : A_1 \rightarrow A_1 \otimes k[x]$ with

$$\delta(u) = u \otimes 1, \delta(v) = v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} b_i \otimes x^{ip},$$

and A_1 is $k[x]$ -Galois. Moreover,

$$\delta(a) = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x, \text{ where } a = v - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{c_{i-1}}{i} v^{ip}.$$

Proof. Since $ub_i = b_i u$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p-1$, δ defines an algebra map. To check coassociativity, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta \otimes \text{id})(\delta(v)) &= v \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} b_i \otimes x^{ip} \otimes 1 \\ &\quad + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes x + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \delta(b_i) \otimes x^{ip}, \\ (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)(\delta(v)) &= v \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes x \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} b_i \otimes \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} x^{jp} \otimes x^{(i-j)p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\delta \otimes \text{id})(\delta(v)) = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)(\delta(v))$ if and only if

$$(2.1) \quad \delta(b_i) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1-i} \binom{i+j}{j} b_{i+j} \otimes x^{jp}, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq p-1.$$

Since $vb_i = b_i v$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p-1$, we have $\delta(v^p) = v^p \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x^p$. Hence for all $1 \leq i \leq p-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(b_i) &= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1-i} \binom{i+j-1}{j} \frac{1}{i} c_{i+j-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} v^{(j-k)p} \otimes x^{kp} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1-i} \frac{1}{i} \sum_{l=0}^{p-1-(i+k)} \binom{i+k+l-1}{k+l} c_{i+k+l-1} \binom{k+l}{k} v^{lp} \otimes x^{kp}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for all $1 \leq i \leq p-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1-i} \binom{i+k}{k} b_{i+k} \otimes x^{kp} &= \\ \sum_{k=0}^{p-1-i} \binom{i+k}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{p-1-(i+k)} \binom{i+k+l-1}{l} \frac{1}{i+k} c_{i+k+l-1} v^{lp} \otimes x^{kp}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (2.1) since

$$\frac{1}{i} \binom{i+k+l-1}{k+l} \binom{k+l}{k} = \binom{i+k}{k} \binom{i+k+l-1}{l} \frac{1}{i+k}.$$

Thus δ is coassociative, and A_1 is $k[x]$ -Galois by Theorem 1.6 since $D_p(v^p) = 1$. The formula for a is again a special case of (1.6). $\square$

References

- [1] M. Demazure and P. Gabriel, *Groupes Algébriques I*, (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1970).
- [2] G. Restuccia and H.-J. Schneider, “On actions of infinitesimal group schemes”, *J. Algebra* **261**, 229-244 (2003).
- [3] P. Schauenburg and H.-J. Schneider, “Galois type extensions of noncommutative algebras” (2004), preprint.

[a] Gaetana Restuccia
Università degli Studi di Messina
Dipartimento di Matematica
Salita Sperone, 31
98166 Messina, Italy
* **E-mail:** grest@dipmat.unime.it

[b] Hans Juergen Schneider
Mathematisches Institut
Universität München
Theresienstr. 39
D-80333 Munich, Germany

Presented: May 16, 2005
Published on line on November 29, 2005